The Divine Attribute 'Istiwā' in Three English Translations of the Holy Qur'an with Reference to Baker's Equivalence Typology

Samar El-Sa'eed Helmy Hegazy

MA Researcher, Department of English Language Faculty of Arts, Port Said University samar.hegazy@arts.psu.edu.eg

Dr. Mursi H. Ali

Lecturer of Comparative Literature and Translation Faculty of Arts, Port Said University morsi.hasan@arts.psu.edu.eg

doi: 10.21608/jfpsu.2023.201604.1263

The Divine Attribute 'Istiwā' in Three English Translations of the Holy Qur'an with Reference to Baker's Equivalence Typology

Abstract

This study has investigated the Divine Attribute (DA, henceforth) 'istiwā' استواء in three English translations of the Holy Qur'an, namely, Pickthall's (1930), Abdel Haleem's (2004), and Hilālī and (1434 AH/2013 AD). This DA is highly controversial among Islamic exegetists, as it is loaded in the Holy Qur'anic text with meanings that need to be carefully studied. By adopting Mona Baker's (1992) Equivalence Typology, this study has examined how the DA 'istiwā' is rendered into English using an analytical comparative approach. Baker (1992) has discussed the types of nonequivalence problems and presented some strategies for dealing with such challenges. The present study seeks to identify the problems of rendering the DA and the strategies used by the translators to overcome them. The three significant translators are selectively from different linguistic and cultural backgrounds so that their translations could be analyzed in the light of these respective differences. Based on the theoretical framework of analysis, the study results have accordingly circled out the semantic and cultural differences among the three translations in rendering 'istiwā'.

Keywords: Equivalence at word level, semantic complexity, semantic loss, exegeses, exegetic translation, misconceptions

صفة الله تعالى الاستواء في ثلاث ترجمات إنجليزية للقرآن الكريم بالاحتكام إلى مفهوم الترادف لدى منى بيكر

مُستَخلَص

تتناول هذه الدراسة ترجمة صفة الله تعالى "الاستواء" لُغويًّا إلى اللغة الإنجليزية في ثلاث ترجمات للقرآن الكريم، وهي ترجمة بيكتول (1930م)، وترجمة عبد الحليم (2004م)، وترجمة هلالي وخان (1434ه/2013م) في إطار تطبيق نموذج الترادف لدى منى بيكر (1992م). ومن خلال هذا النموذج، تطرح منى بيكر المشكلات التي يواجهها المترجمون في ترجمة النصوص باختلاف أنواعها من لغة إلى أخرى في شكل تطبيقي، كما تقترح بعض الاستراتيجيات التي يمكن للمُترجم الاستعانة بها للتعامل مع تلك المشكلات. تهدف هذه الدراسة إلى تحديد المشكلات والتحديات التي واجهت المترجمين الثلاثة عند نقل صفة الاستواء من اللغة المصدر إلى اللغة الهدف فضلا عن الوقوف على الاستراتيجيات التي استخدمها المترجمون للتغلب على هذه المشكلات أو التحديات. وقد تضمنت هذه الدراسة ثلاثة مترجمين من خلفيات مختلفة من حيث الأصل والثقافة واللغة الأم لدراسة الاختلافات في عملية الترجمة ونقل المعنى المصدر. وفي ظل الإطار النظري وتحليل عينات الدراسة، أظهرت النتائج وجود عدد من الاختلافات والفروق الدلالية بين الترجمات الثلاثة. الكلمات المفتاحية: الترادف على مستوى الكلمة، التعقيد الدلالي، الفقد الدلالي، التفاسير،

ROMANIZATION

Consonants				
Arabi	Roma	Arabi	Roman	
c	n	c		
ç	1	c ب ث	b	
ت	t		th	
ج ز ز ش ض ظ ف	j	د	<u>h</u>	
خ	kh	7	d	
ذ	<u>th</u>	ر	r	
ز	Z		S	
m	sh	س ص ط ع ف	<u>s</u>	
ض	<u>d</u>	ط	<u>t</u>	
ظ	<u>z</u>	ع	С	
غ	gh	و	f	
ق	q	أى	k	
J	1	م	m	
ن	n	م ـه	h	
و	W	ي	y	
ö	a(t)			

Vowels			
Arabic	Roman		
ئو	ū		
جي	ī		
ك	ā		

Diacritics		
Arabic Roman		
<i>s</i>	u	
ж _	un	
I.	i	
- "	in	
_	a	
"	an	

Source: Adapted from Ali (2019)

1. Introduction

The present study investigates the problem of non-equivalence arising while translating the DA 'istiwā' into English in three translations to the Holy Qur'an, namely, Pickthall's (1930), Abdel Haleem's (2004), and Hilālī and Khān's (1434 AH/2013 AD). This study adopts Baker's (1992) Equivalence Typology to highlight the problems and challenges that occur while translating the sacred text of the Holy Qur'an regarding the term 'istiwā', and how the three

translators manage to deal with such challenges. It also seeks to identify the influence on conveying the intended meaning of the source text (ST, henceforth) to the target text (TT, henceforth), and whether it causes misconceptions about God or not, depending on the various exegeses of the Holy Qur'an. Each Divine Name (DN, henceforth) largely denotes a DA. The DAs can be proved either by an explicit divine text, or by being derived from a name, verb, or present participle that refers to God. Accordingly, a DA is an attribute in the sense that, regardless of its part of speech at the linguistic level, it describes God. Therefore, the DAs section is wider than the DNs section as the DNs may not be derived from the DAs or deeds of God ('Ibn ^Cuthaymīn, 1440 AH, pp. 142-143). The present study, however, is dedicated to the analysis of the verb 'istawa, which carries the meaning of the DA 'istiwā', as introduced in the present study.

2. Review of the Literature

Since the present study adopts an analytical approach to the English translation of the DA 'istiwā' in the Holy Qur'an, Arabic and English studies need to be investigated. This section is divided into two subsections: (a) Arabic studies on DNs and/or DAs, and (b) English studies on the DNs and/or DAs. Each study is compared with the present study to indicate that it is distinct.

2.1 Arabic Studies on DNs and/or DAs

Many Arabic studies have tackled the DNs and/or DAs, but from different viewpoints. 'Al-Jāsir (2017), for example, adopted a theological perspective, yet with a specific focus on one divine attribute. She discussed the attribute of "hand" and its sense relations, related to the "right hand," "palm," and "fingers" (pp. 3426-3441). She followed the analytical critical approach throughout the analysis, and adopted 'as-Salaf's approach to DAs. She ended up with the idea that a worshipper would not truly know Allah until s/he believed in His attributes. The present paper, however, investigates the DA 'istiwā' to manifest God's image in a clearer and more

thorough way.

'Al-Cazmī (2016) examined the DAs in terms of their *jalāl*, *kamāl*, and *jamāl* focusing the relation of this division to Allah's names from a dogmatic point of view. He highlighted the influence of these names and attributes on the worshiper in elevating his/her inner self to high grades of worshipping. He adopted a comparative approach to shed light on the areas of agreement and disagreement around the DNs and DAs, and applied a critical approach to criticize some aspects of Aijlinād in understanding some relevant texts. On the other hand, the aim of the present study is to linguistically analyze only one DA (viz., 'istiwā') and to identify the strategies used by the translators, but with only minor reference to ideological issues and their influence on rendering God's image in the TT.

2.2 English Studies on DNs and/or DAs

Several studies were conducted to criticize or evaluate the translation of the DNs and/or DAs into English. Firstly, Amjad and Farahani (2013) tracked down the problems and strategies concerning the translation of twenty-six selected DNs in the three translations by Shakir (1985), Qarai (2003), and Nikayin (2006). The two researchers adopted a corpus-based approach in their study, utilizing Chesterman's (1997) model for translation strategies to compare and contrast the three translations. They made use of Abdul-Raof's (2001) study framework with regard to the Qur'anic discourse. They concluded that lexical compression of the DNs was a main problem in translation, resulting from the different layers of meaning typical of rich sacred texts. Their most adopted strategies were near-synonyms and expansion.

Secondly, Al-Bulushī (2009) studied the translatability of eighteen DNs and the way they were rendered into English in the four translations by Pickthall (1930), Yusuf Alī (1934), Hilālī and Khān

¹ For more illustration, there are other Arabic studies that addressed the DAs or DNs, such as Hakamī (2014), Shams 'Ed-Dīn (2017), and Ghumilār (2017).

(1985), and Mohammed Ghāli (1996). He identified the approaches used in translating the selected names and the problems encountered by the translators. He compared the translations of these names in isolation and in context, but with a special focus on morphological, syntactic, and semantic categories, in order to evaluate the accuracy of these translations in terms of equivalence and the loss of meaning.

Thirdly, in perusing accuracy and consistency, Al Ghamdī (2015) critically and comparatively analyzed the English translation of thirty-five recurring near synonymous root-sharing DNs in five translations, namely, Pickthall (1930), A. Y. Ali (1934), Arberry (1955), Hilālī and Khān (1985) and Abdel-Haleem (2004). He examined the morphological and semantic aspects of root-sharing DNs, and investigated the polysemous DNs. He based the analysis on two stages, including (a) a morpho-semantic analysis with seeking the exegetical meanings of the root-sharing DNs in the Qur'ānic text, and (b) a comparative and critical evaluation of the corresponding target of the same.¹

Generally, the above studies share some aspects with the present study, such as the focus on the problems and strategies of translating the DAs, or even the selection of the three respective English translations under investigation. However, the present study is primarily an analytical linguistic study, addressing the theological issue of just one DA, 'istiwā', while concentrating on its rendering into English. It highlights the problems and strategies of conveying God's image in the three respective translations. It also depends for its theoretical framework on Mona Baker's (1992) Equivalence Typology that is meant to achieve the terminal objective of the analysis. Furthermore, the present study follows a four-step pattern to provide a thorough linguistic analysis of the DA 'istiwā' in both the ST and TT (see 3.2 below).

¹ For some other English studies discussing the DAs or DNs, see, for example, Abbasian and Nazerian (2016).

3. Method

This study seeks to analyze the DA 'istiwā' and how it is conveyed into English in the three selected translations. To achieve this objective, it employs the Equivalence Typology proposed by Mona Baker (1992). This section sets the definition of equivalence and its divisions, the reasons for Baker's bottom-up design, and for the focus of the present study on equivalence at word level. It also tackles the tentative strategies for dealing with problems of non-equivalence at word-level as presented by Baker.

3.1 Baker's Equivalence and Non-Equivalence

Baker (1992) was more neutral when discussing the concept of equivalence as it was affected by some linguistic and cultural (2001) defined equivalence as "the relationship factors. Baker between a source text (ST) and a target text (TT) that allows the TT to be considered as a translation of the ST in the first place" (p. 77). She provided a more detailed overview about the notion of equivalence, indicating that it might occur at both the linguistic and the communicative levels. She divided equivalence into six main types: (a) equivalence at word level, (b) equivalence above word level, (c) grammatical equivalence, (d) textual equivalence, (e) pragmatic level, and (f) semiotic equivalence. This study relies upon the type of equivalence at word level since it addresses the DA 'istiwā' that occurs in a single word. The following is an explanation for this equivalence type and for the types of non-equivalence that occur at word, level in addition to the recommended strategies for tackling them in translation.

Baker (1992) adopted a bottom-up approach to highlight the significance of the single word, at which translators firstly look when searching for an equivalent (p. 6). She proposed, "There is no one-to-one relationship between orthographic words and their meaning within or across languages" (p. 11). One word in a language can be rendered by a set of words in another language and vice versa. In addition, a word conveys a specific lexical meaning,

which can be denotative (i.e., conceptual, propositional, or literal) or connotative (i.e., associative, expressive, or emotive). A denotative meaning is the literal use of a word or, simply, the dictionary meaning, referring to the main components of its meaning, whereas a connotative meaning is the positive or negative senses associated with words or the various feelings invoked by words onto language users (Yule, 2010, p. 113). Baker argued that these types of meaning are essential components of equivalence when translating a text from one language into another (p. 16).

Based on the above explanation, Baker (1992) addressed those common types of interlingual word-level non-equivalence, which beget challenges for translators, and she proposed some translation strategies to deal with them. When the TT has no direct equivalent for an ST word, word-level non-equivalence occurs, yet the nature of this non-equivalence determines the level of difficulty. Non-equivalence has various types, each of which requires certain strategies; however, some of these strategies are simple while others are not. The purpose and context of translation would exclude some strategies, and recommend some others (p. 19). Attaching specific types of non-equivalence to specific strategies is not always possible or useful, and hence the types of non-equivalence are separated from the tentative strategies.

There are common types and problems of interlingual word-level non-equivalence (Baker, 1992, pp. 19-24). Cultural specific concepts entail a prominent challenge in translation. The ST may include a cultural concept unknown to the TT readers. These concepts may be abstract or concrete, yet unknown due to differences in religion, social traditions, or cultural customs. The ST could require a set of words to express its meaning in the TT when it includes a word that is semantically complicated. The ST and the TT could have distinct features in meaning, as one language may have more or fewer distinctions than other languages do, or as what is important in a language may be not necessarily so in another language. The TT may also lack a specific term or hyponym since most languages include superordinates or general words derived from and related to

their environments. On the contrary, a language may lack a superordinate term that could cover numerous hyponyms.

Translation challenges arising from physical and interpersonal linguistic perspectives and from semantic and morphological features add to the problem of interlingual non-equivalence. The physical perspective, on the one hand, refers to the relation between things and/or people, whether to one another or to a place, as conveyed by word pairs. The interpersonal perspective, on the other hand, involves the relationship between participants (i.e., tenor) in a certain discourse.¹ Furthermore, translation linguistic challenges could be grouped under semantics or morphology. As for semantics, differences in the expressive meaning across languages could cause a major problem of non-equivalence. Words across languages may have the same denotational senses, yet they may have different expressive/emotive meanings. Besides, adding an expressive meaning is easier than subtracting it since a neutral TT word may be assigned to a modifier or an adverb in the TT to express more meanings. As for morphology, languages could have different morphological forms, resulting in serious translation difficulties. A language could have suffixes or prefixes that signify certain propositional meanings, but do not have direct equivalents in other languages.

Another type of non-equivalence manifests itself in differences between languages in terms of frequency and purpose of using specific forms. Although a certain source language form could have its target language equivalent, differences often occur in the frequency or the purpose of the form across languages. Finally, the use of loanwords in the ST could also bring a problem in translation. Having outlined the problems of word-level non-equivalence, Baker proposed some strategies to solve these problems (see 3.2).

¹ For example, *attack* and *defend* indicate a physical relation to a person or an entity. The Arabic words \Rightarrow camm and abla abla have no direct equivalent in English, which uses *uncle* for both of them, but adds a descriptive word, such as, *paternal* or *maternal* to specify the relation.

3.2 Baker's Strategies to Overcome Non-Equivalence

After having presented a brief explanation for the problems and types of non-equivalence at word level, Baker (1992, pp. 24-45) suggested eight strategies for translators and trainees to encountering the relevant translation problems. These strategies are planned steps to overcome the non-equivalence problem in question so that translators or trainees can manage to produce an acceptable TT while maintaining the ST meaning. Translators could render the ST word, using a more general word (superordinate). This is a common strategy for tackling many types of non-equivalence, especially when addressing the propositional meaning. They could also use a neutral/less-expressive word than the ST word, or satisfy themselves with a cultural substitution. However, a cultural substitution depends on the purpose of translation, and it requires an authority from the translation commissioner.

As for loanwords, a translator could translate them without explanation, or use the ST loanword accompanied by an explanation when the word is repeated, as it is highly preferred with cultural specific items and modern concepts. Translators could also paraphrase ST words when they are lexicalized in different forms of the TL, or could use unrelated words¹ when the ST word is not lexicalized in the TT. When a word is semantically complex, translators could paraphrase it by modifying or explaining its meaning using unrelated words. Furthermore, translators may apply omission and illustration by deleting words from the ST or adding to the TT some words not existing in the ST; however, it all depends on whether the context allows omitting or adding words.

The current study tends to frame such strategies proposed by Baker (1992) in the form of tentative technical terms. Seeking to name rather than describe, the researcher attempts to put Baker's suggested

¹ For example, "affidavit" is paraphrased into "إفادة كتابية مشفوعة بيمين" using unrelated words included within the meanings of the ST word or its definition (Baker, 1992, pp. 40-41).

strategies into noun phrases, mostly of compound adjectives, to use afresh all throughout. These tentative technical terms are as follows: (a) superordinate strategy, (b) neutral/less-expressive-word strategy, (c) cultural-substitution strategy, (d) loanword strategy, (d) explained-loanword strategy, (e) paraphrased-related-word strategy, (f) paraphrased-unrelated-word strategy, (g) omission strategy, and/or (h) illustration strategy.

Generally, these are the strategies proposed by Baker (1992) to encounter the translation challenges of non-equivalence. However, not a certain type of non-equivalence problem can be related to a specific strategy. They are entirely separate, for they may interfere as translators may adopt more than one strategy at the same time. More specifically, a translator may choose a less expressive word equivalent, and may add an illustrative phrase at the same time. To achieve the objective of the present study, this taxonomy of equivalence and non-equivalence is applied to the translations of the DA *'istiwā'* by Pickthall (1930), Abdel Haleem (2004), and Hilālī and Khān (1434AH/2013AD), while specifying the strategies of each translator in tackling the challenges during translation.

The current study follows a four-step pattern of analysis. It firstly provides a clue to the context of the DA 'istiwā', consulting some authentic Islamic exegeses. It secondly consults the linguistic dictionaries for the TT terms' meanings. Accordingly, it highlights the different opinions around the meaning of the DA in question as an argument for causing non-equivalence during translation. Thirdly, it compares the ST and the TT shedding light on the non-equivalence problem and the strategy used by each translator in dealing with the problem with reference to Baker's (1992) Typology of Equivalence at word level. All other occurrences of the DA 'istiwā' in the Holy Qur'an and their corresponding translations in TTs are also counted to compare the three TTs. Fourthly, the results are discussed. Furthermore, DAs, other than 'istiwā', are suggested for future research work.

4. Analysis

The present study pursues the DA 'istiwā' in the verb form 'istawa because it is only mentioned in this way in the Holy Qur'an. The following is a detailed linguistic analysis for this DA. The interpretation of DAs, in Arabic, is hedged by a series of controversial debates among Islamic scholars and exegetists, resulting in different interpretations and exegeses of the DAs. The analysis below contains only one sample for this DA, and it compares other occurrences of the DA in the Holy Qur'an, highlighting the similarities or differences in the TTs.

Table 4.1'Istawa in 'al-A^crāf 54 and its Three TTs:

Islawa in al-A-raj 54 ana ils Inree 11s:				
ST	TT1	TT2	TT3	
"إِنَّ رَبَّكُمُ اللَّهُ	Lo! your Lord	Your Lord is	Indeed your	
"إِنَّ رَبَّكُمُ اللَّهُ اللَّ	is Allah Who	God, who	Lord is Allah,	
السَّمَاوَ اتِ	created the	created the	Who created the	
وِالْأَرْضِ فِي سِتَّةِ	heavens and	heavens and	heavens and the	
أَيَّامٍ ثُمَّ <u>اسْتَوَىٰ</u>	the earth in six	earth in six	earth in Six	
عَلَى الْعَرِّشِ الْعَرِّشِ	Days, then	Days, then	Days, and then	
يُغْشِي اللَّيْلَ النَّهَارِ	mounted He	established	He <u>rose over</u>	
يَطْلُبُهُ جَثِيثًا	the Throne. He	Himself on the	(Istawa) the	
وَ الْشِّمْسَ وَ الْقُمَرَ	covereth the	throne; He	Throne (really	
وَالنَّجُومَ مُسَخَّرَاتٍ لَــُ اللَّهُ لَــُهُ اللَّهُ اللَّلْمُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللْمُوالِمُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللْمُواللَّهُ اللْمُواللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللْمُوالْمُ اللْمُوالْمُولَامُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ ا	night with the	makes the night	in a manner that	
	day, which is in	cover the day in	suits His	
	haste to follow	swift pursuit;	Majesty). He	
تَبَارَكَ اللَّهُ رَبُّ	it, and hath	He created the	brings the night	
الْعَالَمِينَ"	made the sun	sun, moon, and	as a cover over	
(7:54)	and the moon	stars to be	the day, seeking	
	and the stars	subservient to	it rapidly, and	
	subservient by	His command;	(He created) the	
	His command.	all creation and	sun, the moon,	
	His verily is all	command	the stars	
	creation and	belong to Him.	subjected to His	
	commandment.	Exalted be God,	Command.	

Blessed be	Lord of all the	Surely, His is
Allah, the Lord	worlds! (Abdel	the Creation and
of the Worlds!	Haleem, 2004,	Commandment.
(Pickthall,	p. 98)	Blessed is
1930, p. 47)		Allah, the Lord
		of the 'Alamin
		(mankind, jinns
		and all that
		exists)! (Hilālī
		and Khān, 1434
		AH/2013 AD,
		p. 208)

According to 'ahl 'as-Salaf 'as-Sālih, the Arabic verb 'istawa has more than one meaning, involving "ارتفع وعلا" irtafaca wa cala," صعد sacada," and "استقر 'istaqarra," as reported by 'Ibn Taymiya(t) (see 'Ibn Cuthaymīn, 1421 AH, vol. 1, p. 375). The meanings of "alculuwu," "al-'irtifāc," "الاستقرار 'al-'istiqrār," and 'الارتفاع 'al-'al-'irtifāc'," الاستيلاء 'istylā" are reported by some exegesises, such as 'al-'Alūsī (1415 AH/ 1994 AD, vol. 16, pp. 154-155) and 'al-Qurtubī (1427 AH/ 2006 AD, vol. 9, p. 239). *"Istawa* also denotes "'istagarra" or استولى 'istawla" if it is followed by the proposition على cala or "on" ('Al-Qurtubī, 1427 AH/ 2006 AD, vol. 9, p. 239). These different exegeses for the same word in the ST affect the process of conveying the meaning into the TT, and cause a non-equivalence problem. Most importantly, they may bring about some misconceptions about God while rendering God's image into English.

Regarding the verb 'istawa, each translation tries to convey the meaning of the ST as much as possible. In TT1, Pickthall (1930) renders the term 'istawa as "established." The verb "establish" means "to start or create an organization, a system, etc." while "to establish oneself at" means "to hold a position for long enough or succeed in something well enough to make people accept and

respect you" (Hornby, 2010, Oxford advanced learner's dictionary, p. 517). When considering the ST meaning and that indicated by TT1, a non-equivalence problem at word-level is found. The ST term is semantically complex due to the various exegeses and interpretations concerning the intended meaning of God's 'istiwā'. The verb "established" in TT1 does not carry all the meanings implied in the ST word 'istawa. This is the less-expressive-word strategy. Narrowing or reducing the meaning of semantically complex words is a way for solving word-level non-equivalence problems. However, this has its impact on the ST meaning.

In TT2, Abdel Haleem (2004) translates the verb 'istawa as "mounted." The verb "mount" means "to go up something that is raised" (Hornby, Oxford advanced learner's dictionary, p. 999). The problem of non-equivalence at word level takes place because of the semantic complexity of the ST term. The term "mounted" is noticeably less expressive than the ST term, which is typical of the less-expressive-word strategy suggested by Baker (1992).

The choice of the verb equivalent, in TT1 and TT2, causes a semantic loss in conveying the ST meaning, as it results in misconception about God. Both TT1 and TT2 indicate that God is like human beings and that He has their qualities since He has mounted or established Himself on the throne, in the material sense of TT1 and TT2 verbs. Contrary to 'as-Salaf's approach to DAs, the verbs "mounted" and "established" also lead a non-native speaker or a non-Muslim to think that God has exerted effort while creating the heavens and earth. The same verse begins with a note about the sixday period of creation that is followed by God's 'istiwā' on His throne, yet the use of the coordinator "أُمَّ" or "then" could indicate that this is done after a while, as if He takes a break after feeling tired. 'Al-Qurtubī (1427 AH/ 2006 AD), however, clarifies that God can create the earth and heavens in a moment but He teaches the creatures to be kind and make sure of things (vol. 9, p. 238). The point is that this is not directly verbalized in the respective ST here since the Holy Qur'an often refers to meanings in other contexts. Thus, these implied meanings are not conveyed in TT1 and TT2.

As for TT3, Hilālī and Khān (1434 AH/2013 AD) deal with the non-equivalence problem of the semantic complexity by using a transliterated word *'istawa* accompanied by the explanation *rose over*. Leaving the ST word in the TT is another translation technique that can be exploited along with an explanation. This is the explained-loan-word strategy. To communicate the implied meanings that affect the ST message regarding God's image, they make use of exegetic translation to clarify the controversial meaning of *'istawa* among Islamic scholars and exegetists by adding the brackets: "[(really in a manner that suits His Majesty)]" (Hilālī & Khān, 1434 AH/2013 AD, p. 208). The TT reader can understand that God may not be liken to human beings, as *'istawa* means "to rise over the throne in a way that befits Him alone." TT3 is, therefore, closer to the ST term than TT1 and TT2 are.

The verb 'istawa is repeated nine times in the Holy Qur'an (2:29; 7:54; 10:3; 13:2; 20:5; 25:59; 32:4; 41:11; & 57:4). The following paragraphs tackle the other occurrences of 'istawa throughout the Holy Qur'an, highlighting the differences among the three TTs and the attitude of each translator in rendering the same term into the TT. Furthermore, an analysis is given when a remarkable difference is detected. Table 4.2 includes the eight occurrences of 'istawa, other than the one already introduced in Table 4.1.

Table 4.2 *Other Occurrences of 'Istawa in the Holy Our' an and the three TTs:*

	ST	TT1	TT2	TT3
1.	ثُمَّ اسْتَوَىٰ إِلَى "	"Then turned He	"then turned to	"Then He rose
	السَّمَاءِ"	to the heaven,"	the sky," (Abdel	over (Istawâ)
	(2:29)	(Pickthall, 1930,	Haleem, 2004,	towards the
		p. 27)	p. 6)	heaven," (Hilālī
				and Khān, 1434
				AH/2013 AD,
				p. 7)
2.	الثُمَّ <u>اسْتَوَىٰ</u> عَلَى الْعَرْشِ" الْعَرْشِ"	"then He	"then	"then rose over
	الْعَرْشِ"	established	established	(Istawâ) the
	(10:3)	Himself upon	Himself on the	Throne (really

	ST	TT1	TT2	TT3
		the Throne," (Pickthall, 1930, p. 209)	Throne," (Abdel Haleem, 2004, p. 128)	in a manner that suits His Majesty)," (Hilālī and Khān, 1434 AH/2013 AD, p. 270)
3.	"ثَمَّ <u>اسْتُوَىٰ</u> عَلَى الْعَرْشِ" (13:2)	"then mounted the Throne," (Pickthall, 1930, p. 248)	"then established Himself on the throne," (Abdel Haleem, 2004, p. 153)	"Then, He rose above (Istawâ) the Throne (really in a manner that suits His Majesty)," (Hilālī and Khān, 1434 AH/2013 AD, p. 319)
4.	"الرَّحْمَٰنُ عَلَى الْعَرْشِ <u>اسْتَوَىٰ</u> " (20:5)	"The Beneficent One, Who is established on the Throne." (Pickthall, 1930, p. 318)	"the Lord of Mercy, established on the throne," (Abdel Haleem, 2004, p. 198)	"The Most Gracious (Allâh) rose over (Istawâ) the (Mighty) Throne (in a manner that suits His Majesty)." (Hilālī and Khān, 1434 AH/2013 AD, p. 414)
5.	"ثُمَّ <u>اسْتُوَىٰ</u> عَلَى الْعَرْشِ" (25:59)	"then He mounted the Throne" (Pickthall, 1930, p. 371)	"and then established Himself on the throne," (Abdel Haleem, 2004, p. 230)	"Then He (Istawâ) rose over the Throne (in a manner that suits His Majesty)." (Hilālī and

	ST	TT1	TT2	TT3
				Khān, 1434 AH/2013 AD,
6.	"ثُمَّ اسْتَوَىٰ عَلَى الْعَرْشِ" الْعَرْشِ" (32:4)	"Then He mounted the throne" (Pickthall, 1930, p. 423)	"Then He established Himself on the Throne." (Abdel Haleem, 2004, p. 264)	"Then He rose over (Istawâ) the Throne (in a manner that suits His Majesty)." (Hilālī and Khān, 1434 AH/2013 AD, p. 557)
7.	"ثُمَّ <u>اسْتَوَىٰ</u> الْمِی السَّمَاءِ" (41:11)	"Then <u>turned</u> He to the heaven" (Pickthall, 1930, p. 491)	"Then He <u>turned</u> to the sky," (Abdel Haleem, 2004, p. 307)	"Then He rose over (Istawâ) towards the heaven," (Hilālī and Khān, 1434 AH/2013 AD, p. 645)
8.	"ئَمَّ <u>اسْتُويٰ</u> عَلَى الْعَرْشِ" (57:4)	"then He mounted the Throne" (Pickthall, 1930, p. 565)	"then established Himself on the throne." (Abdel Haleem, 2004, p. 359)	"and then rose over (Istawâ) the Throne (in a manner that suits His Majesty)," (Hilālī and Khān, 1434 AH/2013 AD, p. 742)

By looking into the ST, the term *'istawa* is followed by two propositions: the first is *cala* or "on," and the second is *la* or "to." On the one hand, the proposition "on" means "over" or "above"; therefore, it is suitable with the meanings of "irtafaca wa cala," "sacada," "istaqarra," and/or "istawla." On the other hand, the proposition "to" means "intending and turning to." In STs 1 and 7,

the verb 'istawa is followed by the proposition 'ila, which requires to be clarified in the light of the analysis framework. In interpreting the verb verb استوى الحي 'istawa 'ila, the exegsists are divided into two teams. The first one thinks that it has the same meaning of استوى على 'istawa 'ala, while the second believes that it means "وعلى qasada 'ila." On the one hand, 'Ibn Jarīr 'at-Tabarī (1422 AH/2001 AD) supports the first aspect, and reports after explaining the controversy among scholars that 'istawa 'ila means "irtafaca wa cala" (vol. 1, p. 457). On the other hand, 'Ibn Kathīr (1420 AH/2000 AD) supports the second aspect, recording that 'istawa 'ila means "qasada 'ila" (p. 108).

Sticking to the opinion of the second team, both TT1 and TT2 translate the verb 'istawa 'ila into "turn to." However, this arises a misconception of God's image that He is limited to a certain direction since the verb "turn" means "to move something so that it is in a different position or it faces a different direction" (Hornby, 2010. Oxford advanced learner's dictionary, p. 1664). The problem of non-equivalence occurs due to the various opinions and schools among Arab scholars concerning the meaning of the verb 'istawa. TT1 and TT2 fail to render this controversial meaning; they pick a verb that leads the TT reader to understand a wrong God's image. They may use the strategy of illustration, as suggested by Baker (1992), to explain the implied meaning in the ST, clarifying that the manner of 'istiwā' must be taken literally. TT3, on the other side, relies upon the exegesis of the first team, and keeps the meaning of the verb 'istawa 'ila as to "rise over," but they add the preposition "towards" as a way to keep the ST context as much as possible. It also adds the term 'istawa between two brackets as an illustration and to stick to the ST.

As introduced in the tabular form (Table 4.2), the three TTs differ in one way or another in rendering the same term 'istawa throughout its various occurrences in the Holy Qur'an. For TT1, the translator uses three different terms, which are "mounted" (7:54; 13:2; 25:59; 32:4 & 57:4), "turned to" (2:29 & 41:11), and "established" (10:3 & 20:5). It is noticed that the translator sticks to the term "turned to" when he renders the term 'istawa 'ila, but uses "mounted" and

"established on/upon" when rendering the term *'istawa ^cala*. On the one hand, the translator follows a fixed pattern while translating the term 'istawa 'ila using the term "turn to". On the other hand, there is no fixed pattern in rendering the term 'istawa cala as the translator uses "mounted" five times, and "establish" two times for the same term. As for TT2, the translator only adheres to two terms. He uses "established" (7:54; 10:3; 13:2; 20:5; 25:59; 32:4 & 57:4) seven times as an equivalent for 'istawa cala, and "turned to" (2:29 & 41:11) two times as an equivalent for 'istawa 'ila. TT3 uses three different forms of the same verb, "rose over" (7:54; 10:3; 20:5; 25:59; 32:4 & 57:4), "rose above" (13:2), and "rose over towards" (2:29 & 41:11) in rendering the same two terms. TT3 uses the term "rose over towards" in rendering the term 'istawa 'ila, while it uses the other two terms, "rose over" and "rose above," in rendering the term 'istawa cala. Interestingly, TT3 uses the term "rose above" once. To conclude, among the three TTs, TT3 is more consistent in using only one equivalent "rose over", "rose above", or "rose over towards" for rendering 'istawa 'ila and 'istawa 'ala, respectively, in all occurrences of the same term. This consistency may in turn reduce the misconceptions about God's image in the TT.

5. Results and Discussion

In this study, the DA 'istiwā' was linguistically analyzed throughout its occurrences in the Holy Qur'an in the three respective translations. Considering the verb form of the DA 'istiwā' in the Qur'anic text, the verb 'istawa was thoroughly pursued in its nine occurrences (2:29; 7:54; 10:3; 13:2; 20:5; 25:59; 32:4; 41:11; & 57:4). The study relied on Baker's (1992) Typology of Equivalence as the methodology of the analysis. Among the several types of equivalences discussed by Baker (1992), the present study only focused on the at-word-level equivalence because the DA 'istiwā' is mentioned as a single word in the Holy Qur'an. The study made use of a four-step pattern of analysis in order to consistently examine and compare the ST and the three TTs in light of the theoretical framework of analysis.

The study investigated the problems and challenges arising while translating the verb 'istawa into English in order to mark how they affect the process of rendering the ST meaning into the TT. The study also detected which strategies the three translators used to deal with these challenges. The study highlighted the various exegeses regarding the meaning of the DA 'istiwā'. It also circled out the impact of these exegeses on rendering God's image into the TT. Based on the analysis, both TT1 and TT2 distinguished between the term 'istawa cala and the term 'istawa 'ila using different forms in the TT. They used "turned to" as equivalent for 'istawa 'ila (2:29 & 41:11). However, they interchangeably used other equivalents for 'istawa cala. TT1 used "mounted" and "established on/upon", while TT2 stuck to "established". Considering the dictionary meanings of the TT words, semantic loss in the ST meaning was detected. The TT reader might understand that there is likeness between God and human beings by physically establishing or mounting Himself on the Throne owing to the actual meaning of the TT1 and TT2 equivalents. This misconception might lead to another one that God has made effort in creating the Heavens, which is contrary to 'as-Salaf's approach to DAs. Furthermore, the TT equivalent "turn to" might result in another misunderstanding about God that He is limited to a certain direction based on the lexical meaning of the term "turn to".

TT3 proved to be more consistent than TT1 and TT2, and it was comparatively closer to the ST meaning in all occurrences of the DA 'istiwā' in the Holy Qur'an, including the main sample of this study. The translators of TT3 used one verb "rose" followed by three different propositions: "over" and "above" in rendering 'istawa cala, and "over towards" in rendering 'istawa 'ila. The consistency in using one verb for the same ST word 'istawa helped the TT3 encounter the problem of the semantic complexity in the ST. It also reduced the misconceptions among the TT readers. Most significantly, they exploited the explained-loan-word strategy by using the two brackets and the illustrative words and phrases "['istawa]" and "[really in a manner that suits His Majesty]" to stick

to their own conception of translation faithfulness. This is why TT3 does not arise a misunderstanding of God among the TT readers, as it corresponds with the translation strategies recommended by Baker (1992). It is recommended for TT1 and TT2 to use brackets or apply the illustration strategy or the explained-loan-word strategy, in order to decode the semantic complexity in the ST term.

6. Conclusion

The present study linguistically analyzed the DA 'istiwā' in one sample, and traced the other occurrences of the same DA throughout the Holy Qur'an. Three different English translations of the Holy Our'an were selected from different backgrounds in terms of nationality, mother tongue, culture, and education, for the purpose of comparison. Mona Baker's (1992) Typology of Equivalence was adopted to conduct the framework of analysis. In the study sample, a four-step pattern of analysis is followed. Firstly, the contextual meaning of the DA 'istiwā' is derived from the Islamic exegeses; secondly, the dictionary meanings of the TT terms used by the three TTs are quoted; thirdly, the two steps are exploited to compare the TT terms by highlighting the translation challenges and strategies; and fourthly, results are discussed to reach a recommendation, sustained by the conclusion. It generally shows how various opinions of scholars about the same DA would affect the process of translation that, in turn, could cause misconceptions about God. Finally, TT3 is nearer to the ST than the other TTs are, and the analysis framework proves suitable for the present study and also for a wider scope that could include the other DAs and DNs in the Holy Qur'an.

Nonetheless, this study has certain limitations in terms of both the thematic thread and the technical thread. As for the thematic thread, the study is limited to only one DA, as the space of the present study does not afford the other DAs. The several various exegeses of the Holy Qur'an may outweigh one translation over another, so it is likely impossible for translators to agree on one translation of the same ST. As for the technical thread, Mona Baker's (1992)

Typology of Equivalence determines several problems or challenges that arise during translation at word level, and suggests some strategies to overcome these challenges or problems. However, not all these problems and strategies can be applied to a DA as it is only one word. For future research, the researcher strives to widely apply this analysis framework to other DAs in the Holy Qur'an. Other researchers may also apply another framework of analysis to DAs or DNs, or apply the same to other terms in the Holy Qur'an with reference to the same three translations investigated herein or other different translations.

References

- Abbasian, G. R., & Nazerian, S. (2016). *Problems and strategies in translation of Quranic divine attributes*. Germany: Lap Lambert Academic Publishing. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/312196868_Proble ms_and_Strategies_in_Translation_of_Quranic_Divine_Attributes
- Abdel Haleem, M. A. (2004). *The Qur'an*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Abdul-Raof, H. (2001). *Qur'an translation: Discourse, texture and exegesis*. London & New York: Routledge. doi: https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203036990
- Al Ghamdi, S. A. (2015). Critical and comparative evaluation of the English translations of the near-synonymous divine names in the Quran (Published Doctoral Dissertation). The University of Leeds. Retrieved from http://etheses.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/9592
- 'Al-'Alūsī, A. A. S. A. A. M. A. (1414AH/1994AD). $R\bar{u}\underline{h}$ 'al-ma^cānī fī tafsīr 'Al-Qur'ān 'Al-^cAzīm wa 'as-sab^cu 'al-mathānī (The spirit of meanings in the interpretation of the Great Qur'an and the seven repetitions). Beirut: Dār 'Ihyā' At-Turāth 'Al-^cArabī.

Retrieved from noor-book.com/en/fi9exw

- Al-Bulushi, S. (2009). The translation of the names of Allah mentioned in the Qurān into English (Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation). University of Sains Malaysia. Retrived from http://eprints.usm.my/id/eprint/10378
- 'Al-^Cazmī, M. M. M. (2016). 'Al-Jamāl wa 'al-jalāl fī 'asmā' 'Illāh wa sifātih: Dirāsa(t) tahlīliyya(t) (Beauty, glory in the names and attributes of Allah: An analytical study) (Published MA Thesis). Jordan: The World Islamic Sciences and Education University.

 Retrieved from http://search.mandumah.com/Record/866246
- Al-Hilālī, T. & Khān, M. (1434 AH/2013 AD). *The noble Qur'an:*English translation of the meanings and commentary.

 Medina: King Fahd Complex for the Printing of the Holy Qur'an.

 Retrived from https://archive.org/details/TheNobleQuranHilaliMuhsinKhan
- Ali, M. H. (2019). A generative basic metrical analysis of English and Arabic metrical verse. *Journal of the Faculty of Arts Port Said University*, 14(14), 57-78. doi:10.21608/JFPSU.2019.57935
- 'Al-Jāsir, N. M. B. S. (2017). Sifa(t) 'al-yad lillah ^caz wa jall wa 'arrad ^cala 'al-mukhālifīn (God's hand attribute and the response to the opposers). 'Al-Majalla(t) 'Al-^cilmiyya(t) li Kuliyya(t) 'Usūl 'Ad-Dīn wa 'Ad-Da^cwa(t) bi 'Az-Zaqazīq, 29(4), 3399-345. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.21608/fraz.2017.7224
- 'Al-Qurtubī, A. A. M. A. B. (1427AH/2006AD). 'Al-Jami^c li 'ahkām 'Al-Qur'ān wa 'al-mubayyin limā tadammanahu min 'assunnah wa āyī 'al-furqān (The whole of the rulings of the Qur'an and the explanation of what it contains from the sunnah and the verses of al-furqan). Beirut: Mū'assasa(t) 'Ar-Risāla(t). Retrieved from noor-book.com/en/e6xicb

- Amjad, F. A., & Farahani, M. (2013). Problems and strategies in English translation of qur'anic divine names. *International Journal of Linguistics*, 5, 128-142. doi:https://doi.org/10.5296/ijl.v5i1.3301
- 'At-Tabarī, A. J. M. J. (1422AH/ 2001AD) Jami^c 'al-bayān ^can ta'wīl 'āyī 'Al-Qur'ān (Jami^c 'al-bayān on the interpretation of verses of the Qur'an). In Abdullah bin Abdulmohsen Al Turki (Ed.). Cairo: Dār Hajr li 'At-Tibā^ca(t) wa 'An-Nashr wa 'At-Tawzī^c wa 'Al-'I^clān. Retrieved from noor-book.com/en/hctf5w
- Baker, M. (1992). *In other words: A course book on translation*. London & New York: Routledge. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9780203327579
- Baker, M., & Malmkjaer, K. (2001). *Routledge encyclopedia of translation studies*. London & New York: Routledge. doi: https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203359792
- Chesterman, A. (1997). *Memes of translation: The spread of ideas in translation theory*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins. doi:https://doi.org/10.1075/btl.22
- Ghūmylār, G. (2017). 'Al-'Asmā' 'al-husna fī sūra(t) 'al-Mulk: Dirāsa(t) sarfiyya(t) nahwiyya(t) wa tadmīniha 'at-tarbawī (The most beautiful names in surat Al-Mulk: A morphological, grammatical, and semantic study and its educational implication) (Diploma Thesis). UIN Sunan Gunung Djati Bandung. Retrived from http://digilib.uinsgd.ac.id/id/eprint/6944
- <u>H</u>akamī, A. M. H. (2014). 'As-sifā(t) 'al-'ilāhyya(t) 'al-fi^clyya(t) bayn 'an-nafī wa 'al-'ithbāt: Dirāsa(t) ^caqidiyya(t) (Actual divine attributes between negation and evidence: A doctrinal study). *Majalla(t) 'Ad-Dirāsāt 'Al-^caqidiyya(t)*, *6*(13), 11-97. Retrived from http://search.mandumah.com/Record/631869
- 'Ibn ^Cuthaymīn, M. B. S. (1421AH). Shar<u>h</u> 'al-caqīda(t) 'al-

- wasitiyya(t) li shaykh 'Al-'islām 'Ibn Taymiya(t) (Explanation of the wasitiyyah creed by sheikh al-Islam Ibn Taymiyyah). (Ed. 6). Dammam: Dar Ibn Al-Jawzi. Retrieved from noorbook.com/en/ly8roh.
- 'Ibn ^Cuthaymīn, M. B. S. (1440AH). *Shar<u>h</u> 'al-qawā^cid 'al-muthla fī* <u>sifāt 'Illāh ta^cāla wa 'asmā'ih 'al-h</u>usna (Explanation of the optimal rules in the attributes of God and His most beautiful names). 'Al-Qa<u>s</u>īm: Mu'assasa(t) 'Al-Shaykh Mu<u>h</u>ammad 'Ibn <u>S</u>āli<u>h</u> 'Al-^Cuthaymīn 'Al-Khayriyya(t). Retrieved from: noorbook.com/en/cwe0pf.
- 'Ibn Kathīr, A. A. I. I. O. (1420AH/2000AD). *Tafsīr 'Al-Qur'ān 'Al-c'Azīm (Interpretation of the Great Qur'an)*. Beirut: 'Ibn Hazm Publishing House. Retrieved from noor-book.com/en/gf7iom.
- Pickthall, M. M. (1930). *The meaning of the Glorious Koran: An explanatory translation*. New York: Alfred A. Knof. Retreived from https://quran-archive.org/explorer/marmaduke-pickthall/1930?page=1#top
- Shams 'Ed-Dīn, S. (2017). 'Asmā' 'Illāh 'al-husna wa sifātih wa 'af^cālih: Dirāsa(t) tahlīliyya(t) fī sūra(t) 'an-Nūr (The names of Allah, His attributes and actions: An analytical study on surah al-Nūr). *Al-Burhān: Journal of Qur'ān and Sunnah Studies, 1*(1), 69-88. Retrieved from https://journals.iium.edu.my/al-burhan/index.php/al-burhan/article/view/92
- Yule, G. (2010). *The study of language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. doi:https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511757754